

Home-to-School Transportation Program

The Funding Formula Should Be Modified to Be More Equitable

REPORT NUMBER 2006-109, MARCH 2007

California Department of Education's response as of February 2008

The Joint Legislative Audit Committee (audit committee) requested that the Bureau of State Audits (bureau) review the California Department of Education's (Education) disbursement of Home-to-School Transportation (Home-to-School) program funds to identify any inequities. Specifically, we were asked to review the funding formula that Education uses to determine Home-to-School program payments to school districts. The audit committee also asked us to determine how the program is funded and what roles Education and school districts have in determining the funding levels. In addition, we were asked to compare data related to the number and percentage of students receiving transportation services, the amount paid for the Home-to-School program in total and per student, the actual cost of transporting students in total and per student, and the excess cost over Home-to-School program payments by school district and region for both regular and special education students to determine if and why variances exist. Further, the audit committee asked that we determine how school districts fund the difference between what is paid to them by Education and their actual cost, and evaluate, to the extent possible, whether this practice affects other programs. Additionally, the audit committee asked us to determine, to the extent possible, whether any correlations exist between higher transportation costs and staffing levels.

Finding: The prescribed funding formula does not allow some school districts to receive transportation funding.

Home-to-School program funding is contingent upon receiving funds for this program in the immediately preceding fiscal year. Consequently, some school districts and county offices of education (school districts) are not eligible to receive these funds. Current laws require that Education allocate Home-to-School program funds to each school district based on the lesser of its prior year's allocation or approved cost of providing transportation services, increased by the amount specified in the budget act. School districts that did not previously receive Home-to-School program allocations for special education transportation, regular education transportation, or both, are not eligible to receive these allocations under the current laws. Furthermore, some school districts have experienced dramatic increases in student population over the years. Although the funding method provides for some adjustments for the increase in statewide average daily attendance, the allocations have not always increased at the same rate as the increase in student population at individual school districts.

To determine the fiscal impact on school districts that do not receive the Home-to-School program funds, we recommended that Education identify all school districts that provide transportation services to their students but are not eligible to receive Home-to-School program

Audit Highlights . . .

Our review of the Home-to-School Transportation (Home-to-School) program administered by the California Department of Education found that:

- » *The current legally prescribed funding mechanism prevents some school districts from receiving Home-to-School program funds because of the basis of allocation.*
- » *Although the annual budget act increases the Home-to-School program funds to account for the increases in the statewide average daily attendance, these increases are less than the student population growth some school districts have experienced over the years.*
- » *Urban school districts received less overall Home-to-School program payments per student transported than rural school districts (\$559 versus \$609) and paid for more overall costs per student transported from non-Home-to-School program funds (\$828 versus \$299).*
- » *While all school districts typically incurred higher costs to transport a special education student, such costs were higher in rural school districts (\$5,315) than in urban school districts (\$4,728).*
- » *Staffing levels and student test scores bear no relationship to the amount of transportation expenditures the school districts paid per student from non-Home-to-School program funds during fiscal year 2004–05.*

funds for regular education transportation, special education transportation, or both. In addition, we recommended that Education determine the actual costs these school districts incur and the funding sources they use to pay them. Further, we recommended that Education seek legislation to revise the current laws to ensure that all school districts that provide transportation services to regular education, special education, or both, are eligible for funding. To ensure that school districts are funded equitably for the Home-to-School program, we also recommended that Education seek legislation to revise the law to ensure that funding is flexible enough to account for changes that affect school districts' transportation programs, such as large increases in enrollment.

Education's Action: None.



Education noted that it does not have the resources to identify all school districts that provide transportation services to their students but are not eligible to receive Home-to-School program funds for regular education transportation, special education transportation, or both; and determine the actual costs these school districts incur and the funding sources they use to pay them. It further noted that it submitted a Budget Change Proposal for the fiscal year 2008–09 budget for a new position to, among other things, develop a pupil transportation funding reform proposal. However, Education noted that this proposal was not included in the fiscal year 2008–09 Governor's Budget.

Education was silent regarding any efforts it had taken to seek legislation to revise the law to ensure that all school districts that provide transportation services are eligible for funding and that funding is flexible enough to account for changes that affect school districts' transportation programs.