

CALIFORNIA'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Changes to the Medical Payment System Should Produce Savings Although Uncertainty About New Regulations and Data Limitations Prevent a More Comprehensive Analysis

REPORT NUMBER 2003-108.2, JANUARY 2004

Division of Workers' Compensation, Department of Industrial Relations response as of July 2004

Audit Highlights . . .

Our analysis of medical claims payment data from the State Compensation Insurance Fund (State Fund) to determine the extent to which new reforms would have produced savings in workers' compensation medical costs had they been in effect during 2002 revealed that:

- Although data limitations constrained our analysis, the data we were able to analyze showed that the reforms would produce savings in the form of lower payments for outpatient surgical facilities (surgical centers) and pharmaceuticals.*
- Our analysis of the \$14.5 million in surgical center payments resulted in a range of potential savings with a midpoint of approximately \$8.5 million, or 58 percent.*

continued on next page . . .

The Joint Legislative Audit Committee (audit committee) requested that we review the medical costs related to the workers' compensation insurance system and the extent to which the payment structure has resulted in unacceptably high reimbursement rates. As the audit committee requested, in August 2003 the Bureau of State Audits released a report of the workers' compensation medical payment system, titled *California's Workers' Compensation Program: The Medical Payment System Does Not Adequately Control the Costs to Employers to Treat Injured Workers or Allow for Adequate Monitoring of System Costs and Patient Care*. To address the audit committee's request that we focus on payments for workers' compensation medical services that hospitals and surgical centers provided and insurance companies (insurers) paid for, we relied on medical payment data from the State Compensation Insurance Fund (State Fund), which paid more for than a quarter of the medical costs related to California's insured employers in 2002. However, State Fund was not able to provide us with all the information we sought in order to analyze facility fees paid to surgical centers and pharmaceutical payments. Therefore, we were unable to present this information in our August 2003 report. As a result, we presented our analysis of payment data in this follow-up report.

Finding: Changes to the state workers' compensation medical payment system will cause payments for outpatient surgical facility services and prescription drugs to drop sharply, but savings depend on the careful implementation of the medical payment fee schedules and monitoring of the medical payment system.

- ☑ *Under the new reforms, State Fund would have saved \$18 million (24 percent) on its 2002 payments for pharmaceuticals that we were able to analyze. However, if litigation related to the pricing of Medi-Cal pharmaceuticals is successful, the savings would be \$14.6 million (19 percent).*
- ☑ *Our analysis was limited because the data entered into State Fund's medical bill review file were often incomplete, individual items were summarized without retaining their unique identifiers, and the database design prevented certain detailed analysis.*
- ☑ *The savings we identified depend on the careful implementation of the newly legislated reforms. However, according to the Division of Workers' Compensation's (division) former administrative director, his efforts to implement reforms have been hampered by hiring freezes and budget shortfalls.*
- ☑ *The division continues to lack a comprehensive database to monitor workers' compensation medical payments.*

Effective January 1, 2004, Chapter 639, Statutes of 2003, brought major changes to the workers' compensation medical payment system. The new law requires that payments for services performed in an outpatient surgical facility outside of a hospital setting (surgical center) or an outpatient surgical facility in a hospital not exceed 120 percent of the fee for the same procedure under Medicare's ambulatory payment classification (APC) facility fee schedule. The new law also requires that for pharmacy services and drugs that Medicare's APC fee schedule does not otherwise cover, payments be limited to 100 percent of the relevant Medi-Cal fee schedule. Although data limitations constrained our analysis, the data we were able to analyze showed that the recent reforms would produce savings in the form of lower payments for fees for the use of facilities (facility fees) at outpatient surgical facilities and for pharmaceuticals.

For this second report, we obtained medical payment data from State Fund to determine the extent to which the new legislative reforms would have produced savings in workers' compensation medical costs had they been in effect during 2002. Because of limitations in State Fund's data, we were able to analyze only \$14.5 million of the \$43 million in identifiable facility fee payments to surgical centers that State Fund processed through its medical bill review database during 2002. Because these limitations precluded a comprehensive analysis of the data, we used for our analysis Medicare's ambulatory surgical center (ASC) fee schedule, which has only nine groups of procedure classifications, rather than Medicare's APC fee schedule, which has 569 procedure groups. Because the APC fee schedule is more generous overall than the ASC fee schedule, the potential savings would have been less if we had used the APC fee schedule.

Our analysis of the \$14.5 million in surgical center payments resulted in a range of potential savings with a midpoint of approximately \$8.4 million, or 58 percent. The payments State Fund made to surgical centers was to compensate providers for the use of the facilities and to pay for the supplemental supplies and other services related to medical procedures performed. The physicians who perform the medical procedures are compensated according to separate fee schedules. Because of the limitations in State Fund's medical bill review database, we had no basis for calculating whether this level of savings would have been possible in the remaining \$28.5 million in payments State Fund made to surgical centers or in the unknown amount of settlements it paid to surgical centers as a result of litigated payments. Therefore, we cannot reliably conclude that the

payments we analyzed are representative of State Fund's total payments to surgical centers or that the savings we found are representative of the savings possible in all of State Fund's payments to surgical centers. However, we were able to analyze approximately \$76 million, which represents 83 percent of the total \$91.7 million paid for prescription drug purchases in 2002 for which State Fund recorded sufficient information and estimated that it would have saved \$18 million, or 24 percent, had the new reforms been in place during that year.

Our analysis was limited for three reasons: (1) the data State Fund entered into its medical bill review database were often incomplete, (2) individual items were summarized into general categories and entered into the system without retaining their unique identifiers, and (3) the database design is such that certain detailed analysis is impossible. We could not make a comprehensive estimate of the potential savings associated with the change in the maximum facility fee payments to surgical centers that the new law called for because of the manner in which State Fund collects and classifies facility fee payments it makes to surgical centers for supplemental items such as drugs and supplies in addition to the fee it pays for using the facility. Also, although State Fund often pays surgical centers less than the amounts billed when it considers the amounts excessive, it neither tracks the additional litigated settlement payments it makes—payments that arise from its capping these charges—nor links such payments to the original payment amounts in the medical bill review database to reflect the total amount State Fund pays the surgical centers. We also encountered limitations in the data related to payments for pharmacy services and drugs. Lacking such data, we could not compute all of the potential savings that would have resulted had the new law already been in effect during 2002.

Although the condition of the data in State Fund's medical bill review file limited our analysis of individual payments to surgical centers, and to a lesser degree payments for pharmaceuticals, State Fund contends that its data meets its business purposes and the needs of other research entities. According to State Fund's management, "The State Fund's databases were designed to allow the State Fund to carry out our mission to provide workers' compensation coverage to California employers and to provide those benefits due to their injured employees under California's workers compensation law. Our databases were not designed for public policy research purposes. As we recognize the importance of

accurate information to further research and study the workers compensation system we provide data as well as financial and manpower support to the California Workers Compensation Institute, the Workers Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau and the Workers Compensation Research Institute. Our data has been consistently and successfully used by each organization in their studies and reports. State Fund databases are fully sufficient to the task of making and recording accurate compensation and medical benefit payments. Difficulties encountered in completing public policy research must be differentiated from the process of making accurate benefit payments. We are currently implementing two major claims systems development initiatives. Upon completion of these initiatives we will realize a number of business efficiencies. These improvements will include improved data capture at the detail level that, while not altering reimbursement amounts, will further increase the value of the data for research analysis purposes.”

In our analysis of State Fund’s payments to surgical centers during 2002, we found a number of instances in which a fee schedule would have standardized payments and resulted in savings. For example, the average amount State Fund paid to individual surgical centers for the use of their facilities sometimes exceeded 300 percent of the Medicare ASC rate, adjusted to reflect the highest California wage index. In addition, the State’s official medical fee schedule in place during 2002 required that State Fund pay a reasonable fee for a broad range of items, such as drugs and supplies, associated with outpatient surgical procedures. In some instances, these supplemental payments far exceeded the facility fees involved. Medicare’s APC and ASC fee schedules include such items in the facility fee and do not require separate payment.

Savings may not be fully realized, however, unless the administrative director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation (division) ensures that the new reforms are promptly and effectively implemented. On December 30, 2003, the division’s former administrative director posted on the division’s Web site proposed emergency regulations to implement the medical fee schedules that the law required. On the same day, the former administrative director submitted the proposed emergency regulations to the Office of Administrative Law for review and approval. These proposed regulations attempt to address the issues we identify in this report relating to implementing the newly mandated payment system for services that surgical centers

performed, including capping payments at fee schedule amounts and bundling the amounts that insurers pay for drugs and supplies into the facility fee.

Nonetheless, the emergency regulations that the administrative director proposed do not assure the permanent successful implementation of the workers' compensation payment system that the new law mandated. Assuming that the Office of Administrative Law accepts the regulations as written, the emergency regulations will remain in effect for only 120 days. Prior to their expiration, the administrative director must either provide permanent regulations, along with a statement that the regulations comply with all regular rule-making procedures, to the Office of Administrative Law or request that it approve the readoption of the emergency regulations. Therefore, the savings that will result from the payment system that the new law requires will remain unknown until the Office of Administrative Law finalizes and approves the emergency regulations and providers, insurers, and claims administrators who participate in the workers' compensation program interpret and implement them.

Having adequate and reliable medical payment data is critical to any attempt to analyze and monitor how well the workers' compensation system delivers quality care to injured workers at costs that the law allows, as well as to efforts to track the effect of policy changes on the system's performance and costs. However, based on the findings in our first report on California's workers' compensation medical payment system and the knowledge we gained regarding State Fund's medical bill review database during this review, we found that California does not have a database of workers' compensation medical payments that can provide detailed and reliable data for such analysis and monitoring. The division's former administrative director told us that the State's hiring freeze and budget shortfalls have hampered his efforts to implement workers' compensation reform.

The division is currently developing a workers' compensation database, the Workers' Compensation Information System, intended to provide the type of information the division needs to analyze and monitor system performance. However, both the division's survey of insurers and our own analysis of the medical payment data that State Fund provided revealed that both State Fund's and the other insurers' data files appear to be incomplete or the data in the files are inaccurately and inconsistently classified. Therefore, neither the insurers nor the division—once these data are reported—will be able to use the data to make informed decisions.

We recommended that to fully realize the savings from the new reforms to the workers' compensation medical payment system, the division's administrative director must continue to provide the workers' compensation community with the ongoing education and guidance that will ensure that the reforms are promptly and effectively implemented.

The division should ensure that the medical payment data it collects in the Workers' Compensation Information System provides the specific information the division needs to adequately monitor medical payments for compliance with the payment system and for the effectiveness of policy decisions. Specifically, the division should first clearly define the data elements it requires from insurers and claims administrators; second, it should obtain the medical payment data using a standardized reporting instrument, which will ensure that insurers and claims administrators consistently and completely report the data in such a way that it will be useful for the division's analysis and monitoring.

Department Action: Partially implemented.

The Department of Industrial Relations (department) reports that it is currently focusing its attention on the implementation of the reforms from four legislative bills. Included in those bills are changes regarding the workers' compensation system's official medical fee schedule and medical treatment utilization. In addition, the department reports that it is implementing standardized billing forms and electronic billing. The department states that it has completed formal rulemaking for the official medical fee schedule and posted the final regulations on the division's Web site.

The department reports that it has adopted the interim medical treatment utilization standards required by legislative reform and has contracted for a study to identify a permanent medical treatment utilization schedule. It anticipates beginning the formal rulemaking process to adopt a permanent utilization schedule in the fall of 2004. In addition, the department states that it is continuing its efforts to implement standardized electronic billing procedures and expects full implementation by January 1, 2006.

The department reports that it is continuing to implement its workers' compensation database, the Workers' Compensation Information System (WCIS), intended to provide the type of information the division needs to analyze and monitor system performance. The department reports that it has met with its advisory committee for the development of the WCIS to discuss draft regulations. In addition, it has established a task force to refine the list of data elements needed to accomplish the goals of the system and work through technical issues for implementation of data reporting. The department reports it anticipates implementing medical data reporting regulations effective June 30, 2005.

